__ INFORMATION TAB SECTION: 3

X ACTION REQUIRED DATE OF MEETING: 4/24/08

DATE MATERIAL

PREPARED BY: Whitt PREPARED: 4/09/08

AGENDA ITEM: Main Topic #1: Action Item Report – State Administered Projects

ENCLOSURES: • Proposed Charter for Stigma and Discrimination Technical

Resource Group

OTHER MATERIAL RELATED TO ITEM: A PowerPoint presentation of staff recommendations from DMH, CMHDA, CMHPC, MHSOAC, and Government Partners will be brought to the meeting

ISSUE:

State Administered Projects

Staff will provide a PowerPoint presentation that includes recommendations developed by DMH, CMHDA, CMHPC and MHSOAC staff during recent staff meetings and Government Partner's meetings. Commissioners will have the following questions to consider and answer at the conclusion of the presentation:

- 1. Is the proposed implementation plan for state administered projects sufficiently developed that Commissioners feel ready to make a decision about whether or not to support the proposed plan?
- 2. Options if the Commission wants more information before making a decision about the plan:
 - Invite relevant persons to podium for Q & A
 - Suggest next steps needed prior to making decision, include on May 2008 MHSOAC meeting agenda
 - Suggest an alternate action

After discussion by Commissioners, public comment will be taken. Then, Commissioners will vote on any motions made during the discussion. The proposed motions, below, are for your considerations. These proposed motions will be discussed during the PowerPoint presentation so Commissioners understand the advantages and disadvantages of each option.

The statewide Stigma and Discrimination activities are still under development. Enclosed is a proposed charter for a Stigma and Discrimination Technical Resource Group. It contains the process for completing these activities.

 INFORMATION	TAB SECTION:	3

X ACTION REQUIRED DATE OF MEETING: 4/24/08

DATE MATERIAL

PREPARED BY: Whitt PREPARED: 4/09/08

ISSUE: (Cont'd)

Proposed Motions:

1. Adopt a decision regarding funding:

Option 1: Allocate funding to counties for a specific project and funded for a specific amount. (Example: \$20 million annually divided between counties to be spent specifically on Stigma and Discrimination Reduction)

- Option 2: Send funding to the counties for use in developing statewide projects as proposed; however there would be some variability about how smaller counties could use their allocation (for example, focusing on one or two statewide projects.)
- 2. Adopt the proposed charter for the Stigma and Discrimination Technical Resource Group